

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – FRESNO DIVISION  
10

11 MARK HILLIARD, individually and  
12 on behalf of all others similarly  
situated,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 HEARTLAND PAYMENT  
SYSTEMS, INC.,

16 Defendant.  
17

CASE NO. 1:09-cv-00219-OWW-  
DLB

**ORDER APPROVING  
STIPULATION EXTENDING  
TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO  
RESPOND TO COMPLAINT  
AND STAYING PROCEEDINGS  
PENDING TRANSFER BY THE  
JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

18  
19 Pursuant to the Parties' Stipulation Extending Time for Defendant to Respond  
20 to Complaint and Staying Proceedings Pending Transfer by the Judicial Panel on  
21 Multidistrict Litigation,  
22

23 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time for Defendant Heartland Payment  
24 Systems, Inc. to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the complaint is hereby  
25 adjourned in light of Heartland's motion before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict  
26 Litigation to transfer this matter for coordinated or consolidated pre-trial proceedings  
27  
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

1 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 and, if the motion to transfer is granted, until such time  
2 as set by the transferee court.  
3

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**  
5

6 DATED: 3/31/2009  
7

8  
9 By: /s/ OLIVER W. WANGER  
10 Hon. Oliver W. Wanger,  
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28